	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/589,579	COLLINS, HUGH FREDERICK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
The examiner informed Mr. Bailey that claim 25 is a dependent of suggested that the limitations of claim 16 be inserted into claim 2	f a canceled claim. To overcome 15. Mr. Bailey agreed.	this problem, the examiner
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)